## **DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES**

1416 NINTH STREET, P.O. BOX 942836 SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001 (916) 653-5791



October 22, 2003

## Plenary Group:

The Department of Water Resources is taking the unusual step of attaching this preamble to the correspondence received in response to our discussion at our September 23, 2003 Plenary Group Meeting. As you may recall, at that meeting the Department asked for thoughtful solutions to concerns expressed about the health of the collaborative. We received suggestions from four stakeholders which have been attached.

We hope to have a positive discussion of solutions for the process at our October meeting. However, we believe some of the comments received violate the "respect others" ground rule under which we operate in this process. In particular, among the comments was a characterization of the Department as being "deceitful" and an admonishment to "stop lying".

On behalf of the collaborative and all the hardworking professionals and citizen volunteers participating, the Department takes exception to these statements. Our distribution of these comments does not imply in any manner that the Department believes they bring value to our process. Moreover, we believe strongly that there is no basis for making these statements and they run counter to the spirit of our collaborative. In the interest of process transparency, we are distributing the offensive comments, but reserve the right to withhold distribution of inflammatory statements in the future. We also call upon the participants to join the Department in re-affirming our ground rule that disrespectful behavior has no place in our legitimate search to improve our collaborative.

The collaborative is at a critical fork in our road together. If we all want to make progress towards the settlement goal we embarked on over three years ago we have to decide what kind of dialogue will help us reach that goal. I am optimistic that we can reach that goal.



Plenary Group October 22, 2003 Page 2

In the Department's view, the health of the collaborative can be improved through the following actions:

- Conduct business with more respect for each other.
- Honor the difficult role of the facilitator.
- Hold others accountable for disrespectful behavior.
- Recognize when to "agree to disagree" and move on.
- Provide specifics when voicing concerns.
- Bring solutions with concerns.
- · Abide by ground rules.

Sincerely,

Raphael Torres, Executive Manager Oroville Facilities Relicensing Program

Raphael d. Jones

Attachments

•	
9	Stop changing facilities that
	are being disrussed in the ALP
	for consensus based alterations -
	nomore circumventing the process.
(10)	Stop lobbying FERC to circumvent the collaberative process.
	circumvent the collaborative process.
	Cina in chalue active holdon
	Give no status as "stake holder" to the "contractor". DPR.
(12)	No more broken promices or agreements.
(13)	No more prejudicial favoritizm to "special-intrest" stakeholders.
· ·	to special-intrest stakeholders.
(14)	Full recognician of all project impacts realistic mitigation protection / enhancement
	realistic mitigation/projection/enhancement
	actions to be genuinely considered.  — do all cumulative impacts studies.
(K)	Good-Faith consideration of
	alternatives to licencee's intended
•	actions for project.
	P9 20f 3
	(Comments From Ron DAVIS)

cc: Patti Kroen

10/15/03

Suggestions for Restoration

Restore Hiking Equestrian Use designation to trails that were improperly (+ deceitfully) changed current of the Collaborative. Restore to compliance under current license Howar commitments to Interim Projects approved in The ALP Collaborative.

Treat participants with Respect. Facilitator must be NEUTRAL.

Interim projects as approved by Plenary Should not be modified, changed by by DWR or any-one claim any significant

DO BASELINE & CUMULATIVE STUDIES!

C Hodess

FOLLOW -



## OROVILLE RECREATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

October 13, 2003

Mr. Henry M. Ramirez
California Department of Water Resources
Oroville Project Relicensing Program
1416 Ninth St. Room 1115-16
Sacramento, CA. 94236

Re: Oroville Facilities Relicensing, Plenary Group, Unresolved Issues of Concern.

Dear Mr. Ramirez;

At the September 23, 2003 Plenary Group Meeting it was clear that roughly half of the participants continue to have issues of concern about the fairness of the Alternative Licensing Process. These concerns included: lack of confidence in the ALP, distrust of DWR to meet it's obligations, distrust of study data and the coming needs analysis, a bias against local priorities, and the off expressed feeling that local stakeholder input is not as meaningful as it should be. After much discussion, you said that suggestions for establishing local trust in the process would be accepted before October 15<sup>th</sup>.

As a participant in the ALP, the Oroville Recreation Advisory Committee (ORAC) has discussed these issues for some time. The committee would recommend the following actions to restore confidence in the fairness of the process. Most of these recommendations involve carrying out actions that have already been agreed to in the recent past.

Restoration of trails to compliance with the current FERC approved recreation plan through the term of the existing license
or until a trails master plan is approved by the ALP collaborative.

2. Complete the 23 Interim Projects as approved by the Plenary Group.

- Restore monthly attendance and secretarial support to ORAC meetings as long as the committee chooses to maintain this meeting schedule under the provisions of ORAC's "Policies and Procedures".
- Address the environmental justice issues, particularly relating to the fair distribution of project benefits where low-income
  populations are impacted.
- 5. Also address the issue of the large and powerful state agencies overpowering the small local agencies in the settlement negotiations.
- 6. Reengage the cumulative impacts process, including doing more studies if needed, relating to biological issues, and issues related to economic impacts and benefits to the local community.
- 7. Engage use of FERC's Dispute Resolution Service to help address the aforementioned issues.

ORAC believes that the best solution to the long standing problems of concern to the local community lies in a fair and balanced ALP. We all have a huge stake in making this ALP work. We hope that the resolution of these issues will help result in a settlement that is developed to the equitable benefit of all.

Sincerely, Wade Hough

Wade Hough, Chairman

**Oroville Recreation Advisory Committee** 

P. O. Box 787

Palermo, CA 95968

Cc: Patty Kroen

All listed ORAC participants

California Governor Arnold Schwartzenegger

US Senator Dianne Feinstein

**US Senator Barbara Boxer** 

US Congressman John Doolittle

US Congressman Wally Herger

FROM :

FAX NO. :

Oct. 15 2003 04:57PM P1

## PATRICK PORGANS & ASSOCIATES, INC.



Tele: (916) 374-8197 Fax: 372-7679

P.O. Box 1713, W. Sacramento, CA 95651

October 15, 2003

To: Mary Nichols, Secretary of Resources

Mike Spears, Interim Director, Department of Water Resources (DWR)

Fax: (916) 653-8102

Fax: (916) 653-5028

From: Patrick Porgons

Re: Department's Solicitation for Solution to an Apparent Breakdown in Its Federal Regulatory Commission (FERC) Alternative Relicensing Process (ALP) for the Oraville Division, State Water Project Facilities: Resolution of Impasse Concerning Fairness, Trust and Confidence

Attention: Ralph Torres, Executive Manager, Oroville Facilities Relicensing Program Fax: (916) 653-9372

At the September 23, 2003 Plenary Group meeting the department solicited "solutions" from the participants to resolve the apparent breakdown in the ALP resulting from the self-imposed shortcomings, inherent conflict of interest, distrust and eroding confidence in the process, which is predominantly the result of the department's management personnel and its facilitator's failure to conduct themselves in a fair and unbiased manner. As a good-faith gesture, and in keeping with Porgans & Associates' (P&A) commitment to ensure the success of the ALP, once again, we offer the following solutions to resolve, what has become, a critical impasse. However, as you know, P&A, and other participants, already initiated action to have a FERC designated person to convene a meeting to resolve these longstanding and unresolved issues in an "alternative dispute resolution forum." FERC informed P&A that it had made contact with you several weeks ago, inquiring as to whether the department is willing to participate in the dispute resolution forum; however, as of 10:15 a.m. (PST) on October 14, 2003, it had not heard back from you. Albeit, P&A affers the following solutions:

• FAIRNESS and LEVEL-PLAYING FIELD: First and foremost, DWR personnel need to conduct themselves in a manner consistent with their respective "public trust responsibilities/duties." P&A empathizes with the department and realizes the challenge it is faced with in its conflicting roles as a "public trustee" and as a major water purveyor, whose budget is predominantly dependent on revenues received from its State Water Project (SWP) contractors. Since the onset of the ALP, P&A raised these and other fundamental concerns and conflicts to the department, noting that if the department failed to conduct itself in an unbiased manner then these problems would become axiomatic.

SOLUTION: It is imperative that department personnel simply conduct themselves in a fair and unbiased manner. At this point, P&A suggest that the Governor or the Secretary of Resources appoint an overseer to monitor department personnel activities in the ALP, and when appropriate, provide direction to department personnel and/or replacement personnel/facilitator to ensure the success of the ALP.

TRUST: In order for the department to gain the trust of ALP participants, it needs to conduct itself in a cooperative, open and transparent manner that insures the fulfillment of the "collaborative dream," Contrary to the impression conveyed at the Plenary Group meetings, neither the department nor its water contractors can "buy" trust.

SOLUTION: PEA respectfully submits that trust must be earned, and that it can only be developed by a sincere commitment that ensures that the department personnel will keep their word.

CONFIDENCE/DISCLOSURE: It is one thing to promise change for the future; however, it would be imprudent for participants to lose sight of the fact that the department's past/present failures have and will continue to influence the level of trust and/or confidence it can expect from ALP participants.

50LUTION: To ensure confidence, Department personnel should provide full disclosure and cooperation to identify and/or mitigate all project impacts, including "cumulative impacts" of the relicensing project. "Walk the Talk" — do what it agrees to do — and stop making excuses and/or sending "mixed messages." Thank you.